

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/986,346	11	/08/2001	John Lawrence Bowers	P64258US2	8502		
32692	7590	04/04/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
3M INNO	VATIVE P	ROPERTIES CO	RIVELL, JOHN A				
	PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
51.17102,		, 5 .2,		3753	Out		
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005	34		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/986,346	BOWERS, JOHN LAWRENCE					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Rivell	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2004</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej		110 100000 101				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : 19,20 under 35 USC 112; 89-94,	111-119 inder 35 US	<u>C 103</u> .				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 16,17,19-25,41,43-47,49-54,64,66-70 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/986,346

Art Unit: 3753

The shortened statutory period for reply expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this advisory action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Any extension fee required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(a) will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for reply expires as set forth above.

The amendment filed December 6, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection will be entered upon the filing of an appeal, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Upon the filing of an appeal and entry of the amendment, the status of the claims would be as follows:

Allowed claim(s): No claims will be allowed based on the maintained rejection under 35 USC §251. However, pending a successful outcome of the maintained rejection of all claims under 35 USC §251, claims 16-17, 19-25, 41, 43-47, 49-54, 64, 66-70, 72-94 and 111-119 would otherwise be allowed.

Rejected claim(s): Claims 16, 17, 19-25, 41, 43-47, 49-54, 64, 66-70 and 72-121 remain rejected under 35 USC §251 as follows.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

It is noted that all references to applicants Foreign priority application United Kingdom No. 9515986 in all the oaths of record currently list the filing date of this application as "26 July 1996". A review of this foreign application, as filed on the original patent, indicated that this application was filed 04 August 1995. It is respectfully

Application/Control Number: 09/986,346

Art Unit: 3753

suggested that applicants records and all future oaths be checked for accuracy in regards to this mater.

The reissue oath/declaration fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414, Section II, subparagraph D. As this application is a Continuation Reissue application, the currently filed oath/declaration lists "errors" correctable by reissue which were in the original patent. These "errors" were then corrected during prosecution of the parent Reissue application Ser. No. 09/442,082 now Reissued Patent RE 37,974. Accordingly, any Reissue oath/declaration filed in a continuation Reissue application must list other "errors" allegedly in the patent. That is, the current oath does not list an alleged "error" being corrected by this continuation reissue application which was not corrected by the parent Reissue application.

Claims 16, 17, 19-25, 41, 43-47, 49-54, 64, 66-70 and 72-121 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Pending a successful outcome of the maintained rejection under 35 USC §251, claims 95-98, 100-106, 108-110 and 120 would remain rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Japuntich et al. in view of Baldwin as previously applied.

Claim(s) objected to: Pending a successful outcome of the maintained rejection under 35 USC §251, claims 99, 107 and 121 would be objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten

Art Unit: 3753

in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-15,18,26-40,42,48,55-63,65 and 71 have been canceled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Rivell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4918. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. from 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753